

The infrastructure committee was very inactive this year, although more so than the previous year when it did not meet at all; since it was not asked to do anything, there was no reason to meet. Two meetings were held, one on Oct 18th, and one on November 22. The first meeting was attended by the chair and two others, the minutes for that meeting are given in Appendix A. This meeting was an introductory meeting and the nature of the committee and its mission was discussed. As part of the discussion it was clear that the committee is an entirely advisory committee with no actual decision-making powers. The committee is purely advisory and has no work unless called upon by the UNA president. The committee was not able to be arranged, the discussion did result in grab bars being installed in the washroom. This was the last meeting of the committee for the year. No requests were made of it to do any tasks as part of its role in shared governance.

1. The overall inactivity of the committee is largely a failure of the chair to organize and motivate the members of the committee. Most of the committee members have other leadership roles and need a much greater amount of time to schedule meetings than they were given. Using email rather than the Office scheduler was also reported as an issue. It may not also have been clear that people were to send me comments on the master plan for the second meeting. In any case, after only one person attended the second meeting the chair was fairly disheartened, and decided to wait for duties to be assigned to the committee, and this did not happen. Hopefully the new chair will provide better leadership.
2. It is clear that this committee is largely ignored as part of shared governance and the majority of the members are on the committee by position, and are presumably giving advice on infrastructure by other means. Given the large amount of activity on infrastructure on campus, such as the announcement of a new sports complex, and an engineer building, one would think that the infrastructure committee's advice and input would be sought and needed. However,

that is clearly not the case. My primary recommendation is that this committee be disbanded and removed from sha